



# City of Sierra Madre

Office of the City Clerk

232 W. Sierra Madre Blvd.,

Sierra Madre, CA

(626) 355-7135

THE BROWN ACT PROVIDES THE PUBLIC WITH  
AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS  
AT ANY PUBLIC MEETING.

THE FOLLOWING ARE COMMENTS RECEIVED  
FOR THIS MEETING

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Meadows Project Final EIR and Specific Plan  
**Date:** Monday, January 24, 2022 3:07:21 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments.

**Clyde F. Stauff, sior**  
Senior Executive Vice President

---

**From:** Stauff, Clyde  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 1:49 PM  
**To:** Jose Reynoso <jreynoso@cityofsierramadre.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Meadows Project Final EIR and Specific Plan

Thank you Jose, not sure why the Planning Commission and City Council continues to fast track this misguided project. Any layman could see that pouring 700-800 additional car trips a day down Sunnyside and an inadequate outlet on Carter St. doesn't work, and would have a very negative impact on the residents. The traffic study is totally inadequate to justify the traffic increase. There are other options to monetize the property for the Monastery with far less impact. Very frustrating that staff and the council ignore the obvious flaws in the NUW plan, and refuse to evaluate other viable options. Would any of the City Council or Planning Commission members endorse having 700-800 new car trips per day streaming by their front yards? Please share this with the Planning Commission and the City Council, thx.

**Clyde F. Stauff, sior**  
Senior Executive Vice President

---

**From:** Jose Reynoso <jreynoso@cityofsierramadre.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 1:20 PM  
**To:** Stauff, Clyde [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Meadows Project Final EIR and Specific Plan

Dear Clyde F. Stauff,  
The Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Specific Plan for the Meadows project located at 700 N. Sunnyside Ave are available on the City's website.  
The document can be viewed and downloaded at [www.cityofsierramadre.com/meadows](http://www.cityofsierramadre.com/meadows).

Regards,  
Jose

Jose Reynoso

City Manager  
City of Sierra Madre  
232 W. Sierra Madre Blvd.  
Sierra Madre, CA 91024  
(626)355-7135  
[www.cityofsierramadre.com](http://www.cityofsierramadre.com)

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** please read comment at city council meeting/enter into record  
**Date:** Monday, January 24, 2022 2:19:04 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments.

Dear City Council Members:

**I am writing to register my STRONG OPPOSITION to the Meadows Project** as it currently stands; approving it would be a sell out and endanger the citizens of the city as well as the environment. Do not betray the residents of this city. Please read this comment and enter it into the record.

Specifically, **IT POSES UNACCEPTABLE FIRE DANGER** and the **TRAFFIC CONGESTION** that will result, especially down Fairview Ave., Sunnyside, and Carter, should be reason enough to pause and reconfigure what goes into this space.

In addition, as I am sure is clear, many residents feel that their concerns are not being heard and the City Council members have not been sufficiently responsive. I have contacted each member by email and received only one response, which petered out after I posed a follow up message. Your responsibility is to represent and protect the citizens of Sierra Madre, and I urge you to quit bending over backwards to accommodate the developers and instead look out for your electorate.

**DO NOT APPROVE THIS PLAN AND STOP PUSHING IT THROUGH.** We ask you to consider and share with the citizens other options.

Sincerely,

Maria Karafilis

[REDACTED] Fairview Ave

Sierra Madre, CA 91024

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** City Council Meeting Tonight January 25  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 2:40:11 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments.

Here is my comment, in case I can't get through -

**Comment on Items Not on the Agenda -**

I sent Mayor Goss an email asking for a town hall type meeting where we residents can have actual discourse, ask questions without being cut off in the middle of a sentence. Your response, Mayor Goss was: "Concerning the monastery, I see no reason why we need any more public meetings other than those that have already been scheduled." Now that the EIR is out, a month early than we were told it would be, it is my understanding that the City has 60 days to respond.

When, pray tell, have these aforementioned public meetings been scheduled? I respectfully request that you actually let the public know.

Thank you,  
Deb Sheridan  
Valle Vista Drive

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 2:17:51 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments.

We watched the discussion on January 11th regarding future Council Meetings being held either in person or by zoom and thought the solution the Council came up with was the best all-around -- a hybrid between zoom and in person. However, in reading the rules in the current agenda for tonight's meeting (January 25, 2022) the result is the most confusing process anyone could have come up with.

If I want to make a public comment in person, I need to have a phone, dialed in, with a code and password. Or, I can bring my computer and somehow get online? or stay at home with my computer and get on zoom or on the computer with the code listed? or forget the whole thing, stay at home and post a comment that would most likely not be read online. I'm sure whoever came up with the scheme thought it would be logical. However, Gary Hood was right at the last council meeting. Posting comments online is a waste of time since few people will make the effort to pull up the comments. The whole process is confusing, and certainly not conducive for participation in an open meeting. Let's hope February's meeting can be refined to allow for greater input.

That being said, I want to thank the City for the mailed "Notice of Availability of a Final Environmental Impact Report." Citizens have been campaigning for greater transparency regarding the "Meadows" project, and this was a great start. Pat and De Alcorn, [REDACTED] E. Grandview.