
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

City Council Chamber
232 W. Sierra Madre Blvd.
Sierra Madre, California
Thursday,
February 1, 2018
7:00 P.M.

- | | | |
|------|----------------------------|---|
| I. | ROLL CALL | Chair Spears, Vice-chair Hutt, Commissioners Catalano, Denison, Desai, Frierman-Hunt, Pevsner |
| II. | AGENDA | Approval of Agenda |
| III. | APPROVAL OF MINUTES | Approval of Minutes from the Regular Planning Commission Meeting on January 18, 2018 . |
| IV. | AUDIENCE COMMENTS | At this time, any person may address the Planning Commission concerning any item that is not listed on the agenda. The Planning Commission welcomes your participation and input. When addressing the Planning Commission, please begin by stating your name and address for the record. Please limit your comments to no more than four (4) minutes in order to provide for an orderly and timely meeting. |
-

V. CONSENT

1. [CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 16-05 \(CUP 16-05\); DISCRETIONARY DEMOLITION PERMIT 17-10 \(DDP 17-10\)](#)

Address: 94 N. Baldwin Avenue

Applicant: Wendy Te-Hui Liao (Ace Tea Property, LLC)

The Planning Commission will ratify Planning Commission Resolution No. 17-11 approving conditional use permit 16-05 (CUP 16-05) and Discretionary Demolition Permit 17-01 (DDP 17-01) allowing the establishment of a tea room/café in the existing 2,545-square-foot commercial building located at 94 N. Baldwin Avenue. Pursuant to Municipal Code Section 17.36.020.C, restaurants in the Commercial Zone require approval of a conditional use permit. Pursuant to Code Section 17.60.056, a discretionary demolition permit is required to allow the partial demolition of a portion of the wall below a front window of a building that is more than 75 years old at the property located at 94 N. Baldwin Avenue. This ratification does not re-start the appeal period, and simply shows language edits to be consistent with the action taken and the oral findings made at the January 18, 2018 Planning Commission public hearing.

VI. DISCUSSION

1. [REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 17.68 – PARKING.](#)

The Planning Commission will initiate a discussion regarding amendments to the City's Off-Street Parking Ordinance as stipulated by the General Plan Update. This ordinance, codified under Chapter 17.68.020.B of the Sierra Madre Municipal Code, regulates Commercial parking standards.

VII. ORAL COMMUNICATION

1. Audience

This is an additional opportunity for any person to address the Planning Commission on an item that is not on the Agenda. When addressing the Planning Commission, please begin by providing your name and address for the record. Please keep comments to no more than four (4) minutes to assure an orderly and timely meeting.

2. Planning Commission Reports

3. Planning and Community Preservation Staff Reports

VII. ADJOURNMENT

INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC

The Planning Commission will consider the last item at 10:30 p.m. and they will adjourn the meeting by 11:00 p.m. The Planning Commission will continue all unfinished business to an adjourned meeting on the following Thursday at 7:00 p.m. or to a different time and date certain.

Copies of the Agenda are available for your convenience at the rear of the Council Chambers. State legislation (Govt. Code Section 54954.2) limits the Planning Commission's ability to take action on specific requests. Govt. Code Section 54954.2 limits the placement of items on the Agenda for action 72 hours prior to meetings, except for specific findings.

No action or discussion may be undertaken by the Planning Commission on any item if not posted on the agenda, except that Commissioners or staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed by the public, a Council member or its staff may ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement, or make a brief report on his or her own activities. A Commissioner or the Planning Commission itself may provide a reference to staff to report back to the Planning Commission at a subsequent meeting concerning any matter or may direct staff to place a matter of business on a future agenda.

REQUIRED FINDINGS

Conditional Use Permit and Variance considerations are "quasi-judicial" decisions made by the Planning Commission. As such, these decisions may be challenged in court. Accordingly, courts require an adequate "record" to exercise judicial review. This means that the documentation supporting the approval or denial of a project must include an explanation of how the Planning Commission processed the raw information and evidence considered in reaching its decision. The California Supreme Court has laid down distinct, definitive principles of law detailing the need for findings when a public agency approves or denies a project while acting in a "quasi-judicial" roll. This decision is based upon the case, *Topanga Assoc. For a Scenic Community v. County of Los Angeles* ("Topanga"). The "Topanga" court outlined the following 5 purposes for making findings:

- Provide a framework for making principled decisions, enhancing the integrity of the administrative process;
- Facilitate orderly analysis and reduce the likelihood the agency will randomly leap from evidence to conclusions;
- Serve a public relations function by helping to persuade the parties that the administrative decision making is careful, reasoned, and equitable;
- Enable the parties to determine whether and on what basis they should seek judicial review and remedies; and,
- Apprise the reviewing court of the basis for the agency's decision.

For more information on the necessary "Findings" that the Planning Commission must make, please contact the Development Services Department at (626) 355-7138.

(Source: Curtin's California Land Use & Planning Law, Daniel